REMARKS

Claims 1, 3, 4, 9-12, 14, 15, and 20-22 are pending.

Claim 12 and its dependent claims as rejected under 35 U.S.C. §101 as being directed towards non-statutory subject matter. Claims 1, 3, 4, 9-12, 14, 15, and 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Dozier in view of Taylor.

Claim 12 has been amended to recite a computer useable medium having computer readable code embodied therein for execution of the methods as claimed on a computer system. Applicants believe that the claim 12 and the claims dependent therefrom are now directed towards statutory subject matter and respectfully request the §101 rejection be withdrawn.

Independent claims 1 and 12 have been amended to recite functionality provided when a user has more than one entry stored on an online database. The independent claims now recite that a page may be displayed to the user showing all entries associated with an account associated with the user without the need for a search of the database to be performed buy the user. From such a page, the user may edit their other entries without re-logging in to the site or searching for their other entries.

In a previous amendment, independent claims 1 and 12 were amended to recite that the user is utilizing a web browser to perform the interactions with the online database over a public network as claimed. As can be seen from FIGS. 2D-2T, the user interacts with the online database through a conventional web browser, and no additional software is needed. The claims were also amended include a limitation that the user may index their content into a category defined by the user.

It is respectfully submitted that neither Dozier nor Taylor, alone or in combination, teach or suggest the limitations of the claims as present amended.

The present invention as claimed does not require any such software be installed on either the server or clients machines as required by Dozier, as the HTML-front ending tools of the present invention allow a user to interact directly with an online database through any machine running a standard web browser. FIGS 1A and 1B show how the HTML-front-ending tools receive page requests and return results in a page description language such as HTML. Moreover, the present invention as claimed provides for a more robust and richer interaction than that provided by Taylor, as content such graphics may indexed in a category defined by the user. Applicants thus believe that the process as claimed is therefore a significant advance over the state of the art in 1995, and request reconsideration for this reason.

It is respectfully submitted that the cited art of record does not teach or suggest allowing a user to interact with an online database as claimed. It is respectfully requested that the pending case be reconsidered in light of these Remarks and Amendments and moved to allowance.

If the Examiner has any questions regarding this application or this response, the Examiner is personally invited to telephone the undersigned at 775-848-5624.

<div align="right">

Respectfully submitted,
LEWIS AND ROCA LLP

</div>

Dated: September 3, 2008   /timothy a. brisson/

Lewis and Roca LLP   Timothy A. Brisson
1663 Hwy 395, Suite 201   Reg. No. 44,046
Minden, NV 89423

(775) 586-9500